

Struggle with Hydrogel CL Wear Increases with Age in Young Adults

¹Robin L Chalmers, OD, FAAO, ²Chris Hunt, MS and ²Graeme Young, MPhil, BSc, PhD,FCOptom, FAAO ¹Clinical Trial Consultant, Atlanta, GA, USA; ²Visioncare Research Ltd, Farnham, Surrey, UK.

Results

• Dry Eye Diagnosis increased with age in the Hyd wearers from 10.6% (18-24 yrs) to 21.1% (30-35 yrs), but was 19% in the SiHy wearers

Adverse environments caused more discomfort with Hvd than SiHv lenses and Hvd wearers' discomfort increased with age:

• More Hyd wearers considered discontinuation of CL wear with age, from 4.6% (18-24 yrs) to 14.2% (30-35 yrs, p<0.04), but the

Purpose

 To report the symptoms and experiences associated with habitual hydrogel (Hyd) and silicone hydrogel (SiHy) contact lenses (CLs) among young adults presenting for participation in CL clinical trials

• To measure the association of these symptoms and experiences with age from 18 to 35 years

Methods

 Questionnaire responses from 699 Hyd and 183 SiHy wearers presenting for CL clinical trials were analyzed to test the association of lens type and age with items indicating struggle with CL wear

- Prevalence by age was compared between groups with Pearson's $X\!$

• Prevalence within groups was compared with Spearman's correlation coefficient.

Table 1. Habitual Lens Brands

Hydrogel	N (%)	Silicone Hydrogel		N(%)					
Acuvue/Acuvue 2	305 (44)	Acuvue Advance		108 (59)					
Focus Dailies	39 (6)	Night & Day		44 (24)					
Freshlook	42 (6)	O2Optix		26 (14)					
Biomedics 55	31 (4)	PureVision		5 (3)					
Proclear Compatibles	29 (4)								
Optima FW	21 (2)								
1 Day Acuvue	17 (2)								
Focus Monthly	10 (1)								
B&L 2 Week	11 (2)	Table 2. Demographics							
Frequency 55	15 (2)								
Focus 1-2 Week	12 (2)	Age	10.24	25.20	20.25	n-wale			
Frequency 55 Aspheric	12 (2)	Group	10-24	23-25	30-33	p=valu			
Other Brands	100 (14)	SiHy	79 (43%) 56 (319	6) 48 (26%)	0.62			
Don't Know	56 (8)	Hyd	303 (439	b) 192 (275)	%) 204 (29%)				
				% Male					
		SiHy	29 (37%) 18 (329	6) 14 (34%)	0.58			
		Hyd	97 (32%) 49 (269	6) 58 (35%)				



smoky (p=0.0001), dry air environments (p=0.002), after napping or sleeping (p=0.004)

proportion remained steady at 9% across age for SiHy wearers (p=0.46).

across age.

tes <u>Environmental Triggers</u> Dryness and <u>the formula of the providence</u>

Na.1
Spatial
Na.1
Spatial
Na.1
Spatial
Na.1
Spatial
Na.1
Spatial
Na.1
Na.1</

Acknowledgement: This analysis was funded by Johnson & Johnson Visioncare, Inc., Jacksonville, FL

End of Day Countert Frequency of Dayness F

Coping Strategy & Dryness and Vision



Analysis





Bold = p<0.05, Pearson's X²

Table 4. Correlation with Age 18-35 fears								
	Question	Hydrogel (r)	p-value	Silicone Hydrogel (r)	p-value			
	Dry Eye Diagnosis	0.13	0.0005	-0.01	0.94			
Global Aspects	Considered Discontinuing	0.08	0.038	-0.06	0.46			
Symptoms	Lens Awareness	-0.08	0.047	-0.21	0.005			
	End-of-day Discomfort	0.01	0.84	-0.02	0.77			
	End-of-day Dryness	-0.02	0.52	-0.08	0.29			
	End-of-day Comfort	0.04	0.35	-0.06	0.46			
Environmental Triggers	Comfort After Nap/Sleep	-0.11	0.004	-0.05	0.04			
	Smoky Environments	-0.18	0.0001	-0.07	0.37			
	Under Heat or Air Conditioning	-0.13	0.0009	0.02	0.77			
	Dry Air Environment	-0.12	0.002	-0.13	0.08			
Coping Strategy	Need to Remove for Dryness	0.03	0.39	0.12	0.10			
Impact on Vision	When Dry, Vision not Clear	-0.01	0.88	-0.01	0.88			

Bold = p<0.05, Spearman's correlation

Conclusions

• From age 18 to 35 years, hydrogel CL wearers reported increasing struggle with CL wear compared to SiHy wearers.

 Older Hyd wearers were more likely to consider discontinuing CL wear

Young adult CL wearers should be asked directly about struggle with CL wear in order to determine if different lens types should be prescribed to avoid abandonment of CLs.